arXiv:1508.03496v1 [math.AP] 14 Aug 2015

ON THE REGULARITY OF THE FLOW MAP ASSOCIATED WITH THE 1D CUBIC PERIODIC HALF-WAVE EQUATION

VLADIMIR GEORGIEV, NIKOLAY TZVETKOV, AND NICOLA VISCIGLIA

Abstract. We prove that the solution map associated with the $1D$ half-wave cubic equation in the periodic setting cannot be uniformly continuous on bounded sets of the periodic Sobolev spaces H^s with $s \in (1/4, 1/2)$.

1. Introduction

Along this paper we shall consider the Cauchy problem associated with the defocusing cubic half-wave equation in the periodic setting:

$$\begin{cases} (\mathrm{i}\partial_t - |D_x|)u = |u|^2 u, & (t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z}) \\ u(0,x) = f(x) \in H^s \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where H^s denote the usual 2π-periodic Sobolev spaces. We are interested in the regularity (local in time) of the solution map associated with the Cauchy problem above.

We recall first the classical notion of local well-posedness in the Hadamard sense. We say that the problem (1.1) is well-posed in H^s if for every $R > 0$ there is $T > 0$ such that for every $f \in H^s$, $\|f\|_{H^s} \leq R$ there is a unique (in a suitable framework) solution $u(t,x) \in C([0,T]; H^s)$ of (1.1) so that the solution map

$$B^s(R) \ni f \to u(t,x) \in \mathcal{C}([0,T]; H^s) \tag{1.2}$$

is continuous, where $B^s(R)$ denotes the ball of radius R centered at the origin of H^s. This notion can be naturally extended to define well-posedness in subsets of H^s or other functional settings.

Via standard energy estimates, in conjunction with the Sobolev embedding $H^s \subset L^\infty$ for $s > 1/2$, it is easy to show that the Cauchy problem (1.1) is locally well-posed in H^s with $s > 1/2$. Moreover the solution map (1.2) is Lipschitz continuous on bounded sets of H^s. By an adaptation of a classical argument of Brézis-Gallouët [5] one can show (see [13, Proposition 1]) that the Cauchy problem (1.1) is globally well-posed in the space $H^{1/2}$ (this space is related to the energy conservation law), and the corresponding solution map (1.2) is continuous in $H^{1/2}$.

The aim of this paper is to treat the case of initial data in H^s with $s < 1/2$. We prove an "ill-posedness" result, in the sense that the corresponding solution map associated with (1.1) cannot be uniformly continuous on bounded sets with respect to the H^s topology for $s \in (1/4, 1/2)$. More precisely our main result is the following.

The first author was supported in part by Contract FIRB " Dinamiche Dispersive: Analisi di Fourier e Metodi Variazionali.", 2012, by INDAM, GNAMPA - Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilita e le loro Applicazion and by Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences.

The second author was supported in part by the ERC grant Dispeq.

The third author was supported in part by Contract FIRB " Dinamiche Dispersive: Analisi di Fourier e Metodi Variazionali.", 2012.

Theorem 1. *For any $s \in (1/4, 1/2)$ one can find two sequences of initial conditions $f_n, \tilde{f}_n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ such that f_n and $\tilde{f}_n$ are uniformly bounded in H^s and*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|f_n - \widetilde{f_n}\|_{H^s} = 0,$$

but the corresponding solutions $u_n(t,x)$ and $\tilde{u}_n(t,x)$ of (1.1) with data f_n and $\tilde{f}_n$ respectively satisfy

$$\forall T > 0, \quad \liminf_{n\to\infty} \|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_{L^\infty([0,T];H^s)} > 0.$$

As a consequence of Theorem 1, we deduce that the Cauchy problem (1.1) cannot be well-posed in H^s for $s \in (1/4, 1/2)$, with a solution map which is uniformly continuous on bounded sets in H^s (in contrast with the case $s > 1/2$). We believe that the restriction $s > 1/4$ in Theorem 1 is technical, and could be removed. Similar arguments can be applied for the focusing case, in this case the solutions u_n and $\tilde{u}_n$ are only defined on short time intervals but still long enough to observe the instability phenomenon displayed by Theorem 1. For further results about the existence of minimal mass blow-up solutions in the focusing case we refer to [21].

It is worth noticing that the cubic half-wave equation is rescaling invariant, and the corresponding critical space is L^2. Hence there is a gap of $1/2$ derivative between the regularity expected by the scaling argument and the H^s regularity needed to guarantee uniform continuity of the flow.

For previous results in the spirit of Theorem 1, we refer to [2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 18, 19, 20, 22]. For results where one contradicts the continuity of the flow map, we refer to [1, 8, 10, 23, 24, 27].

We underline that our result gives only a partial progress on the question of the well-posedness in Hadamard sense of (1.1) in H^s with $s \in (0, 1/2)$. In fact, it is unclear whether or not the Cauchy problem (1.1) is well-posed with (only) a continuous solution map for $s \in (0, 1/2)$.

Our approach to prove Theorem 1 is based on the observation that for short times one may approximate the solutions of (1.1) with the solution of the so called Szegő equation introduced in [12]. Thanks to [12], we know that the Szegő equation has solutions displaying the phenomenon described by Theorem 1 and the time of the validity of the approximations between (1.1) and these solutions of the Szegő equation is just enough to be able to transfer the property of the Szegő equation to (1.1). Our argument here is close to the ill-posedness results obtained in [8, 27]. However in [8, 27] one gets approximations at time scales imposed by the scaling of the corresponding equation. The main novelty in the analysis we present here is that we are able to go beyond the times imposed by the scaling thanks to a smoothing property of the problem

$$(\mathrm{i}\partial_t - |D_x|)u = F(t), \tag{1.3}$$

where $F(t)$ oscillates on very particular time frequencies (see Lemma 4.2 below). This type of smoothing property is a general feature not restricted to the particular structure of (1.3). We hope therefore that such an argument may be useful in other contexts.

It is observed in [13] that the Szegö equation is the resonant part of the half-wave equation (1.1). This observation is of importance for long time questions concerning (1.1), it is however not clear to us how to exploit it in the context of high frequency short time problems as the one treated in Theorem 1.

The remaining part of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.

2. Special solutions to the Szegő equation

First of all we introduce the Cauchy problem associated with the Szegő equation in the periodic setting:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{i}\partial_t \mathcal{V}(t,x) = P_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{V}(t,x)|\mathcal{V}(t,x)|^2), & (t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z}) \\ u(0,x) = f(x) \in H^s \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

where $P_{\geq 0}$ is the projection operator on positive frequencies:

$$P_{\geq 0}\Big(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}(k)e^{\mathrm{i}kx}\Big) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(k)e^{\mathrm{i}kx}. \tag{2.2}$$

Next we recall an explicit family of solutions $\mathcal{V}(t,x)$ to Szegő equation (2.1) introduced in [12]:

$$\mathcal{V}(t,x) = e^{-\mathrm{i}t\omega}\varphi_{\alpha,p}(e^{-\mathrm{i}ct}e^{\mathrm{i}x}), \tag{2.3}$$

where the function $\varphi_{\alpha,p}(z)$ is given by

$$\varphi_{\alpha,p}(z) = \frac{\alpha}{1-pz} \tag{2.4}$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \neq 0$, $p \in (0,1)$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| = 1$, and

$$\omega = \frac{\alpha^2}{(1-p^2)^2},\ c = \frac{\alpha^2}{1-p^2}. \tag{2.5}$$

By using this family of solutions it is possible to show that the solution map associated with (2.1) cannot be uniformly continuous in the space H^s for $0 < s < 1/2$.

More precisely let $s \in (0,1/2)$ be fixed. Then for any given $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ we fix the parameters

$$p = p(\varepsilon) = \sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_1(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^{s+1/2},\ \alpha_2 = \alpha_2(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^{s+1/2}(1+\delta(\varepsilon)), \tag{2.7}$$

where $\delta(\varepsilon) = |\log\varepsilon|^{-1/4}$. We can construct now two families of solutions to the Szegö equation as follows:

$$\mathcal{V}^{(j)}_{\varepsilon}(t,x) = e^{-\mathrm{i}t\omega_j}\varphi_{\alpha_j,p}(e^{-\mathrm{i}c_j t}e^{\mathrm{i}x}), \qquad j = 1,2, \tag{2.8}$$

where $\omega_j = \omega_j(\varepsilon)$ and $c_j = c_j(\varepsilon)$ for $j = 1,2$ are given by (2.5). For these solutions we can apply the argument of [12, Section 5] and one can show the following estimates.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $0 < s < 1/2$. Then there exist $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $D > 0$, such that*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\searrow 0} \|\mathcal{V}^{(1)}_{\varepsilon}(0,\cdot) - \mathcal{V}^{(2)}_{\varepsilon}(0,\cdot)\|_{H^s} = 0 \tag{2.9}$$

and for any $\varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0]$

$$\|\mathcal{V}^{(1)}_{\varepsilon}(t_\varepsilon,\cdot) - \mathcal{V}^{(2)}_{\varepsilon}(t_\varepsilon,\cdot)\|_{H^s} \geq D > 0 \tag{2.10}$$

for $t_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{1-2s}|\log(\varepsilon)|^{1/2}$.

Proof. We have the relations

$$\left\|\mathcal{V}^{(j)}_{\varepsilon}(t,\cdot)\right\|_{H^s} = \left\|\varphi_{\alpha_j,p}(e^{-\mathrm{i}c_j t}e^{\mathrm{i}x})\right\|_{H^s} \sim 1,\ j = 1,2,$$

as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ (more detailed analysis of these kind of Sobolev norms of can be found in Lemma 4.3 below) and in a similar way representing

$$\mathcal{V}^{(1)}_{\varepsilon}(0,x) - \mathcal{V}^{(2)}_{\varepsilon}(0,x) = \varphi_{\alpha_1-\alpha_2,p}(e^{\mathrm{i}x}),$$

so

$$\left\|\mathcal{V}^{(1)}_{\varepsilon}(0,\cdot) - \mathcal{V}^{(2)}_{\varepsilon}(0,\cdot)\right\|_{H^s} \sim \delta(\varepsilon) = |\log\varepsilon|^{-1/4},\ j = 1,2.$$

Further, we have (2.8) so for any $t > 0$ we have

$$\left|\langle \mathcal{V}_\varepsilon^{(1)}(t,\cdot), \mathcal{V}_\varepsilon^{(2)}(t,\cdot)\rangle_{H^s}\right| = \Big|\sum_k (1+|k|^2)^s \widehat{\mathcal{V}_\varepsilon^{(1)}}(t,k)\overline{\widehat{\mathcal{V}_\varepsilon^{(2)}}(t,k)}\Big| \sim \tag{2.11}$$

$$\sim \varepsilon^{2s+1}\Big|\sum_k (1+|k|^2)^s e^{-\mathrm{i}(c_1-c_2)t}(1-\varepsilon)^k\Big| \sim \Big(\frac{\varepsilon}{|c_1-c_2|t}\Big)^{1+2s}.$$

To this end we use the relation (2.5) and we find

$$|c_1 - c_2| \sim \varepsilon^{2s}|\log\varepsilon|^{-1/4}$$

so taking

$$t = t_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{1-2s}|\log\varepsilon|^{1/2},$$

via the property

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{|c_1-c_2|t_\varepsilon} \sim |\log\varepsilon|^{-1/4} = \delta(\varepsilon) \to 0,$$

we obtain the relation

$$\|\mathcal{V}_\varepsilon^{(1)}(t_\varepsilon,\cdot) - \mathcal{V}_\varepsilon^{(2)}(t_\varepsilon,\cdot)\|_{H^s}^2 = \|\mathcal{V}_\varepsilon^{(1)}(t_\varepsilon,\cdot)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\mathcal{V}_\varepsilon^{(2)}(t_\varepsilon,\cdot)\|_{H^s}^2 + o(1) \sim 2 + o(1)$$

so we get (2.10). This completes the proof. $\square$

The solutions $\mathcal{V}_\varepsilon^{(i)}(t,x)$ will play a crucial role along the proof of Theorem 1. The Szegő equation has a remarkably deep structure, see [12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 25, 26]. These aspects of the Szegő equation are however not of importance for our analysis.

3. A REDUCTION OF THE PROBLEM

First notice that if $\mathcal{V}(t,x)$ solves (2.1) then $v(t,x) = \mathcal{V}(t,x-t)$ solves

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{i}(\partial_t + \partial_x)v = P_{\geq 0}(v|v|^2), & (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z}) \\ v(0,x) = f(x) \in H^s. \end{cases}$$

In particular we get

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{i}(\partial_t + \partial_x)v_\varepsilon^{(j)} = P_{\geq 0}(v_\varepsilon^{(j)}|v_\varepsilon^{(j)}|^2) \\ v(0,x) = \mathcal{V}_\varepsilon^j(0,x) \in H^s\,, \end{cases}$$

where $v_\varepsilon^{(j)}(t,x) = \mathcal{V}_\varepsilon^{(j)}(t,x-t)$ and $\mathcal{V}_\varepsilon^{(j)}(t,x)$, $j = 1,2$ are the solutions constructed in the Section 2. More precisely we have

$$v_\varepsilon^{(j)}(t,x) = \frac{\alpha_j e^{-\mathrm{i}t\omega_j}}{1 - pe^{\mathrm{i}(x-t(1+c_j))}}, \qquad j = 1,2,$$

where $p(\varepsilon), \alpha_j(\varepsilon)$ are given by (2.6), (2.7) and $c_j(\varepsilon), \omega_j(\varepsilon)$, are obtained via (2.5). It is important to keep in mind that in the construction of $\mathcal{V}_\varepsilon^{(j)}(t,x)$ (and hence of $v_\varepsilon^{(j)}(t,x)$) we have the following asymptotic of the parameters:

$$p(\varepsilon) = \sqrt{1-\varepsilon} \text{ and } \alpha_j(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^{s+1/2} + o(\varepsilon^{s+1/2}), \qquad j = 1,2.$$

We can conclude the proof of Theorem 1 provided that we can show that the solutions $u_\varepsilon^{(j)}(t,x)$ to the Cauchy problems (1.1) such that:

$$u_\varepsilon^{(j)}(0,x) = \mathcal{V}_\varepsilon^j(0,x)$$

satisfy

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \sup_{t\in[0,t_\varepsilon]} \|u_\varepsilon^{(j)}(t,\cdot) - v_\varepsilon^{(j)}(t,\cdot)\|_{H^s} = 0, \quad t_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{1-2s}\,|\log(\varepsilon)|^{1/2}\,.$$

Hence Theorem 1 follows from the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Given any* $s \in (1/4, 1/2)$ *one can find* $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ *so that for any* $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ *there exists a solution*

$$u_\varepsilon(t,x) \in \mathcal{C}([0,t_\varepsilon]; H^s), \ t_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{1-2s} |\log(\varepsilon)|^{1/2}$$

to (1.1)*, satisfying the following conditions:*

a) $u_\varepsilon(0,x) = \frac{\alpha}{1-pe^{\mathrm{i}x}}$ *where*

$$\alpha = \alpha_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{s+1/2} + o\left(\varepsilon^{s+1/2}\right), \ p = p_\varepsilon = \sqrt{1-\varepsilon};$$

b) $\sup_{t\in[0,t_\varepsilon]} \|u_\varepsilon(t,\cdot) - v_\varepsilon(t,\cdot)\|_{H^s} \lesssim \varepsilon^{(4s-1)/4}$*, where*

$$v_\varepsilon(t,x) = \frac{\alpha_\varepsilon e^{-\mathrm{i}\omega_\varepsilon t}}{1 - p_\varepsilon e^{\mathrm{i}(x-t(1+c_\varepsilon))}} \tag{3.1}$$

and $\omega_\varepsilon, c_\varepsilon$ *are related to* $\alpha_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon$ *as in* (2.5).

4. Smoothing effect and a-priori estimates for $v_\varepsilon(t,x)$.

Our aim in this section is to estimate the L^∞ and H^σ norms of $v_\varepsilon(t,x)$ as well as the H^σ norm of the action of the Duhamel operator associated with $e^{\mathrm{i}t|D_x|}$ on the expression

$$P_{<0}\left(v_\varepsilon|v_\varepsilon|^2\right),$$

where $P_{<0}$ is the projection in the negative frequencies and $v_\varepsilon(t,x)$ are given in Proposition 3.1. The results of this section will be crucial along the proof of Proposition 3.1 in section 5.

Our first step is to get in an explicit expression for $P_{<0}\left(v_\varepsilon|v_\varepsilon|^2\right)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{C}$ *and* $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{C}$ *satisfy*

$$|\mathcal{A}| = \alpha > 0, \ |\mathcal{P}| = p \in (0,1), \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$v(x) \equiv \frac{\mathcal{A}}{1-\mathcal{P}e^{\mathrm{i}x}} = \mathcal{A}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}^k e^{\mathrm{i}kx}\right). \tag{4.2}$$

Then we have

$$P_{<0}\left(v|v|^2\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{A}\alpha^2}{(1-p^2)^2} \overline{\mathcal{P}}^k e^{-\mathrm{i}kx}. \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. ¿From (4.2) we have

$$v(x)|v(x)|^2 = \left(\frac{F(z)}{z-\overline{\mathcal{P}}}\right)_{|z=e^{\mathrm{i}x}},$$

where $F(z) = \frac{\mathcal{A}\alpha^2 z}{(1-\mathcal{P}z)^2}$ is analytic in a small neighborhood of the disc $\{|z| \le 1\}$.
By the identity

$$\frac{F(z)}{z-\overline{\mathcal{P}}} = \frac{F(z) - F\left(\overline{\mathcal{P}}\right)}{z-\overline{\mathcal{P}}} + \frac{F\left(\overline{\mathcal{P}}\right)}{z-\overline{\mathcal{P}}},$$

and by noticing that the function $H(z) = \frac{F(z)-F\left(\overline{\mathcal{P}}\right)}{z-\overline{\mathcal{P}}}$ is analytic in a small neighborhood of the disc $\{|z| \le 1\}$ (and in particular $P_{<0}\left(H(e^{\mathrm{i}x})\right) = 0$) we get

$$P_{<0}\left(\frac{F(e^{\mathrm{i}x})}{e^{\mathrm{i}x}-\overline{\mathcal{P}}}\right) = F(\overline{\mathcal{P}})\ P_{<0}\left(\frac{1}{e^{\mathrm{i}x}-\overline{\mathcal{P}}}\right).$$

We conclude since we have

$$F(\overline{\mathcal{P}}) = \frac{\mathcal{A}\alpha^2\overline{\mathcal{P}}}{(1-|p|^2)^2}$$

and

$$P_{<0}\left(\frac{1}{e^{\mathrm{i}x}-\overline{\mathcal{P}}}\right) = P_{<0}\left(\frac{e^{-\mathrm{i}x}}{1-\overline{\mathcal{P}}e^{-\mathrm{i}x}}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\overline{\mathcal{P}}^k e^{-\mathrm{i}(k+1)x}.$$

□

The next result will be crucial in the sequel.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\sigma \in [0,1)$ and $s \in (0,1/2)$ satisfy one of the following conditions:*

a) $\sigma \in [0,1/2), s \in (0,1/2)$;
b) $\sigma \in [1/2, 1/(4s)), s \in (1/4,1/2)$.

Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ so that for any $\varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0)$ we have:

$$\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \Big\| \int_0^t e^{-\mathrm{i}(t-\tau)|D_x|}(P_{<0}\left(|v_\varepsilon(\tau,\cdot)|^2 v_\varepsilon(\tau,\cdot)\right) d\tau\Big\|_{H^\sigma} \lesssim \varepsilon^{(3s-1/2)+\sigma(2s-1)}.$$

Proof. We have to estimate the H^σ norm of

$$w_\varepsilon^{(0)}(t,\cdot) = \int_0^t \mathcal{U}(t-\tau)F_\varepsilon(\tau,\cdot)d\tau, \tag{4.4}$$

where $\mathcal{U}(t) = e^{-\mathrm{i}t|D_x|}$ and $F_\varepsilon(t,\cdot) = P_{<0}\left(|v_\varepsilon(t,\cdot)|^2)v_\varepsilon(t,\cdot)\right)$, or equivalently the quantity $\|\mathcal{W}_\varepsilon^{(0)}(t,\cdot)\|_{H^\sigma}$, where

$$\mathcal{W}_\varepsilon^{(0)}(t,\cdot) = \mathcal{U}(-t)w_\varepsilon^{(0)}(t,\cdot) = \int_0^t \mathcal{U}(-\tau)F_\varepsilon(\tau,\cdot)d\tau. \tag{4.5}$$

We quote Lemma 4.1, so taking

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon = \alpha_\varepsilon e^{-\mathrm{i}\omega_\varepsilon t} \text{ and } \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon = p_\varepsilon e^{-\mathrm{i}t(1+c_\varepsilon)},$$

we find

$$F_\varepsilon(t,x) = \frac{\alpha_\varepsilon^3 e^{-\mathrm{i}\omega_\varepsilon t}}{(1-p_\varepsilon^2)^2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_\varepsilon^k e^{\mathrm{i}k(1+c_\varepsilon)t}\ e^{-\mathrm{i}kx}\right).$$

The following identity is trivial:

$$\mathcal{U}(-\tau)\left(e^{-\mathrm{i}kx}\right) = e^{\mathrm{i}\tau|D_x|}\left(e^{-\mathrm{i}kx}\right) = e^{\mathrm{i}\tau|-k|}e^{-\mathrm{i}kx} = e^{\mathrm{i}\tau k}e^{-\mathrm{i}kx}, \qquad \forall k \geq 0,$$

and hence

$$\mathcal{W}_\varepsilon^{(0)}(t,x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_\varepsilon(t,k)e^{-\mathrm{i}kx},$$

where

$$\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_\varepsilon(t,k) = \frac{\alpha_\varepsilon^3}{(1-p_\varepsilon^2)^2}p_\varepsilon^k\Lambda(t,k,\omega_\varepsilon,c_\varepsilon) \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$\Lambda(t,k,\omega_\varepsilon,c_\varepsilon) = \int_0^t e^{-\mathrm{i}\tau(\omega_\varepsilon-k(2+c_\varepsilon))}d\tau = -\frac{e^{-\mathrm{i}t(\omega_\varepsilon-k(2+c_\varepsilon))}-1}{\mathrm{i}(\omega_\varepsilon-k(2+c_\varepsilon))}.$$

We shall use the estimate

$$|\Lambda(t,k,\omega_\varepsilon,c_\varepsilon)| \lesssim \frac{1}{1+|\omega_\varepsilon-k(2+c_\varepsilon)|},\ \forall t\in[0,1], \tag{4.7}$$

together with the identity $1-p_\varepsilon^2=\varepsilon$ and the asymptotic expansions

$$\alpha_\varepsilon=\varepsilon^{s+1/2}+o\left(\varepsilon^{s+1/2}\right), \quad \omega_\varepsilon=\varepsilon^{2s-1}+o\left(\varepsilon^{2s-1}\right), \quad c_\varepsilon=\varepsilon^{2s}+o\left(\varepsilon^{2s}\right). \tag{4.8}$$

Thus we can take $t\in[0,1]$, $\sigma\geq 0$ and we can derive the relations

$$\|\mathcal{W}_\varepsilon^{(0)}(t,\cdot)\|_{H^\sigma}^2=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_\varepsilon(t,k)\right|^2 k^{2\sigma}\lesssim \varepsilon^{6s-1}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{k^{2\sigma}(1-\varepsilon)^k}{1+|\omega_\varepsilon-k(2+c_\varepsilon)|^2}. \tag{4.9}$$

Further, we can observe that

$$\frac{1}{1+|\omega_\varepsilon-k(2+c_\varepsilon)|^2}\lesssim\frac{1}{2+|\tilde{\omega}_\varepsilon-k|^2}, \quad \tilde{\omega}_\varepsilon=\frac{\omega_\varepsilon}{2+c_\varepsilon}$$

and therefore

$$\frac{1}{1+|\omega_\varepsilon-k(2+c_\varepsilon)|^2}\lesssim\frac{1}{1+|N_\varepsilon-k|^2},$$

where

$$N_\varepsilon=\left[\frac{\omega_\varepsilon}{2+c_\varepsilon}\right]\sim\varepsilon^{2s-1}+o\left(\varepsilon^{2s-1}\right) \tag{4.10}$$

and $[a]$ is the integer part of the real number a, i.e. $[a]\leq a<[a]+1$. Next notice that for any integer $N\geq 1$ we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{10N}\frac{k^{2\sigma}(1-\varepsilon)^k}{1+|N-k|^2}\lesssim\sum_{k=1}^{10N}\frac{N^{2\sigma}}{1+|N-k|^2}\lesssim N^{2\sigma}. \tag{4.11}$$

On the other hand for $0\leq 2\sigma<1$ we have

$$\sum_{k=10N}^{\infty}\frac{k^{2\sigma}}{1+|N-k|^2}\lesssim\sum_{k=10N}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k^{2-2\sigma}}\lesssim N^{2\sigma}. \tag{4.12}$$

We conclude the proof in the case $a)$ by combining (4.9), (4.10), (4.11) and (4.12).

In the case $1/2\leq\sigma<1$ we can use the Hölder inequality

$$\sum_{k=10N}^{\infty}\frac{k^{2\sigma}(1-\varepsilon)^k}{1+|N-k|^2}\leq\left(\sum_{k=10N}^{\infty}k^{2(\sigma-1)p}\right)^{1/p}\left(\sum_{k=10N}^{\infty}(1-\varepsilon)^{kq}\right)^{1/q},$$

where

$$1<p<q<\infty, \quad \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1. \tag{4.13}$$

The convergence of the series

$$\sum_{k=10N}^{\infty}k^{2(\sigma-1)p}<\infty$$

is fulfilled if

$$2(\sigma-1)p<-1 \iff \frac{1}{p}<2(1-\sigma). \tag{4.14}$$

The inequality

$$\sum_{k=10N}^{\infty}(1-\varepsilon)^{kq}\leq\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(1-\varepsilon)^{kq}\leq\frac{1}{1-(1-\varepsilon)^q}\lesssim\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$$

implies

$$\sum_{k=10N}^{\infty} \frac{k^{2\sigma}(1-\varepsilon)^k}{1+|N-k|^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/q}}.$$

Summarizing, we get

$$\sum_{k=10N}^{\infty} \frac{k^{2\sigma}(1-\varepsilon)^k}{1+|N-k|^2} \lesssim N^{2\sigma} \tag{4.15}$$

provided that $1/2 \leq \sigma < 1$ is such that there exist $1/p$ and $1/q$ that satisfy (4.13) and moreover

$$\frac{1}{p} < 2(1-\sigma), \ \ \frac{1}{q} < (1-2s)2\sigma. \tag{4.16}$$

The conditions are satisfied if $1/2 \leq \sigma < 1/(4s)$. We conclude the proof in the case *b)* by combining (4.9), (4.10), (4.11) and (4.15).

□

We conclude this section with the estimate of the H^σ and L^∞ norms of $v_\varepsilon(t,x)$.

Lemma 4.3. *For any* $s \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right), \sigma \in [0,1]$ *we have the estimates:*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \|v_\varepsilon(t,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon^{s-1/2} \tag{4.17}$$

and

$$\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \|v_\varepsilon(t,\cdot)\|_{H^\sigma} \lesssim \varepsilon^{s-\sigma}. \tag{4.18}$$

Proof. We have

$$v_\varepsilon(t,x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widehat{v}_\varepsilon(t,k) e^{\mathrm{i}kx},$$

where

$$|\widehat{v}_\varepsilon(t,k)| \lesssim \varepsilon^{s+1/2}(1-\varepsilon)^{k/2}, \quad \forall t \in [0,1].$$

The estimate (4.17) follows by the Minkowski inequality and the following estimate

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} (1-\varepsilon)^{k/2} \lesssim \varepsilon^{-1}.$$

To prove (4.18) we have to show the estimate

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} (1-\varepsilon)^k k^{2\sigma} \lesssim \varepsilon^{-2\sigma-1}, \qquad \forall \sigma \in [0,1]. \tag{4.19}$$

Estimate (4.19) for $\sigma = 0$ is straightforward. Next, we notice that

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} (1-\varepsilon)^k k^{2\sigma} \lesssim \frac{N^{2\sigma}}{\varepsilon} + (1-\varepsilon)^N \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} (1-\varepsilon)^h (N+h)^{2\sigma}, \qquad \forall N.$$

In particular for $\sigma = 1$ we write, for $N \geq 1$ to be chosen:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k\geq 0} (1-\varepsilon)^k k^2 &\lesssim \frac{N^2}{\varepsilon} + (1-\varepsilon)^N \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} (1-\varepsilon)^h (N+h)^2 \\ &= \frac{N^2}{\varepsilon} + (1-\varepsilon)^N \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} (1-\varepsilon)^h N^2 + (1-\varepsilon)^N \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} (1-\varepsilon)^h h^2 + 2(1-\varepsilon)^N \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} (1-\varepsilon)^h hN \end{aligned}$$

and hence by elementary computations:

$$\sum_{k\geq 0}(1-\varepsilon)^k k^2 \lesssim \frac{N^2}{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3}+\frac{N}{\varepsilon^2}.$$

By choosing $N=\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right]$ we deduce (4.19) for $\sigma=1$. The proof of (4.19) for $\sigma\in(0,1)$ follows by interpolation between $\sigma=0$ and $\sigma=1$.

□

5. Proof of Proposition 3.1

Given any $\varepsilon\in(0,1)$ we define the solution to the cubic Szegö equation $v=v_\varepsilon$ by

$$v_\varepsilon(t,x)=\frac{\alpha e^{-\mathrm{i}\omega t}}{1-pe^{\mathrm{i}(x-t(1+c))}},$$

where the parameters α,p are chosen as follows

$$\alpha=\varepsilon^{s+1/2}+o\left(\varepsilon^{s+1/2}\right),\ p=\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}$$

and ω,c are determined as in (2.5). Then we look for solutions to (1.1) as a perturbation of $v_\varepsilon(t,x)$:

$$u_\varepsilon(t,x)=v_\varepsilon(t,x)+w_\varepsilon(t,x),$$

so that $w(t,x)=w_\varepsilon(t,x)$ has to be a solution to the equation

$$(\mathrm{i}\partial_t-|D_x|)w=\big(|v+w|^2(v+w)-P_{\geq 0}(|v|^2)v\big) \tag{5.1}$$

with zero initial data. Turning back to (5.1), we can rewrite it as follows

$$(\mathrm{i}\partial_t-|D_x|)w=(w+v_\varepsilon)^2\overline{(w+v_\varepsilon)}-v_\varepsilon^2\overline{v_\varepsilon}+P_{<0}(v_\varepsilon^2\overline{v_\varepsilon}). \tag{5.2}$$

It is important to classify all term in the right sides of (5.2). We have linear combinations of the following terms:

a) Term $w^2\overline{w}$ cubic in w.
b) Terms $w^2\overline{v_\varepsilon}$ and $w\overline{w}v_\varepsilon$ quadratic in w.
c) Terms $wv_\varepsilon\overline{v_\varepsilon}$ and $\overline{w}v_\varepsilon^2$ linear in w.
d) Term of type $P_{<0}(v_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon\overline{v_\varepsilon})=P_{<0}(v_\varepsilon|v_\varepsilon|^2)$.

Lemma 5.1. *For every $\sigma>1/2$, $s\in(1/4,1/2)$ and for every $t\in[0,1]$ we have*

$$\|w(t)v_\varepsilon(t)\overline{v_\varepsilon}(t)\|_{H^\sigma}+\|\overline{w}(t)(v_\varepsilon(t))^2\|_{H^\sigma} \lesssim \varepsilon^{2(s-1/2)}\|w(t)\|_{H^\sigma}+\varepsilon^{2s-\sigma-1/2}\|w(t)\|_{L^2}^{1-1/(2\sigma)}\|w(t)\|_{H^\sigma}^{1/(2\sigma)} \tag{5.3}$$

and also

$$\|w(t)v_\varepsilon(t)\overline{v_\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^2}+\|\overline{w}(t)(v_\varepsilon(t))^2\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^{2s-1}\|w(t)\|_{L^2}+\varepsilon^{2s-1/2}\|w(t)\|_{L^2}^{1-1/(2\sigma)}\|w(t)\|_{H^\sigma}^{1/(2\sigma)}. \tag{5.4}$$

Proof. By the Minkowski inequality and the well-known estimate

$$\|fg\|_{H^\sigma}\lesssim\|f\|_{H^\sigma}\|g\|_{L^\infty}+\|g\|_{H^\sigma}\|f\|_{L^\infty} \tag{5.5}$$

we get

$$\|w(t)v_\varepsilon(t)\overline{v_\varepsilon}(t)\|_{H^\sigma}+\|\overline{w}(t)(v_\varepsilon(t))^2\|_{H^\sigma}\lesssim\underbrace{\|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty}^2\|w(t)\|_{H^\sigma}}_{I}+\underbrace{\|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty}\|v_\varepsilon\|_{H^\sigma}\|w(t)\|_{L^\infty}}_{II}.$$

For the first term we use the estimate (4.17) and deduce

$$I \lesssim \varepsilon^{2(s-1/2)} \|w(t)\|_{H^\sigma}.$$

To estimate II we need the interpolation inequality

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2}^{1-1/(2\sigma)} \|f\|_{H^\sigma}^{1/(2\sigma)}, \tag{5.6}$$

where $\sigma > 1/2$. We obtain

$$II \lesssim \varepsilon^{s-1/2} \varepsilon^{s-\sigma} \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^{1-1/(2\sigma)} \|w(t)\|_{H^\sigma}^{1/(2\sigma)},$$

where we used (4.17) and (4.18). We also have

$$\|w(t) v_\varepsilon(t) \overline{v_\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\overline{w}(t)(v_\varepsilon(t))^2\|_{L^2} \lesssim \underbrace{\|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|w(t)\|_{L^2}}_{I'} + \underbrace{\|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty} \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \|w(t)\|_{L^\infty}}_{II'}.$$

Arguing as above we get

$$I' \lesssim \varepsilon^{2s-1} \|w(t)\|_{L^2}$$

and

$$II' \lesssim \varepsilon^{2s-1/2} \|w(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon^{2s-1} \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^{1-1/(2\sigma)} \|w(t)\|_{H^\sigma}^{1/(2\sigma)}.$$

□

Lemma 5.2. *For any* $\sigma > 1/2$, $s \in (1/4, 1/2)$ *and for every* $t \in [0,1]$ *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(w(t))^2 \overline{v_\varepsilon}(t)\|_{H^\sigma} + \|w(t)\overline{w}(t) v_\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^\sigma} \\ &\qquad \lesssim \varepsilon^{(s-1/2)} \|w(t)\|_{H^\sigma}^{1+1/(2\sigma)} \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^{1-1/(2\sigma)} + \varepsilon^{s-\sigma} \|w(t)\|_{H^\sigma}^{1/\sigma} \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^{2-1/\sigma} \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(w(t))^2 \overline{v_\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^2} + \|2w(t)\overline{w}(t) v_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} \\ &\qquad \lesssim \varepsilon^{s-1/2} \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^{2-1/(2\sigma)} \|w(t)\|_{H^\sigma}^{1/(2\sigma)} + \varepsilon^{s} \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^{2-1/\sigma} \|w(t)\|_{H^\sigma}^{1/\sigma}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

Proof. By the Minkowski inequality and (5.5) we get

$$\|(w(t))^2 \overline{v_\varepsilon}(t)\|_{H^\sigma} + \|w(t)\overline{w}(t) v_\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^\sigma} \lesssim \underbrace{\|v_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^\infty} \|w(t)\|_{L^\infty} \|w(t)\|_{H^\sigma}}_{I} + \underbrace{\|v_\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^\sigma} \|w(t)\|_{L^\infty}^2}_{II}$$

For the first term we use the estimate (4.17) together with the estimate (5.6) and deduce

$$I \lesssim \varepsilon^{(s-1/2)} \|w(t)\|_{H^\sigma}^{1+1/(2\sigma)} \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^{1-1/(2\sigma)}.$$

We also get

$$II \lesssim \varepsilon^{s-\sigma} \|w(t)\|_{H^\sigma}^{1/\sigma} \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^{2-1/\sigma},$$

where we used (4.18) and we conclude the first estimate of the lemma. We also have

$$\|(w(t))^2 \overline{v_\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^2} + \|w(t)\overline{w}(t) v_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \underbrace{\|v_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^\infty} \|w(t)\|_{L^\infty} \|w(t)\|_{L^2}}_{I'} + \underbrace{\|v_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} \|w(t)\|_{L^\infty}^2}_{II'},$$

and arguing as above we get

$$I' \lesssim \varepsilon^{s-1/2} \|w(t)\|_{H^\sigma}^{1/(2\sigma)} \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^{2-1/(2\sigma)}, \quad II' \lesssim \varepsilon^{s} \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^{2-1/\sigma} \|w(t)\|_{H^\sigma}^{1/\sigma}.$$

□

Lemma 5.3. *For any* $\sigma > 1/2$ *and for every* $t \in [0,1]$ *we have*

$$\|(w(t))^2 \bar{w}(t)\|_{H^\sigma} \lesssim \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^{2-1/\sigma} \|w(t)\|_{H^\sigma}^{1+1/\sigma} \tag{5.9}$$

and also

$$\|(w(t))^2 \bar{w}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^{3-1/\sigma} \|w(t)\|_{H^\sigma}^{1/\sigma}. \tag{5.10}$$

Proof. By (5.5) we get

$$\|(w(t))^2 \overline{w}(t)\|_{H^\sigma} \lesssim \|w(t)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|w(t)\|_{H^\sigma} \lesssim \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^{2-1/\sigma} \|w(t)\|_{H^\sigma}^{1+1/\sigma},$$

where we used (5.6) at the last step. We also have

$$\|(w(t))^2 \overline{w}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|w(t)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|w(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^{3-1/\sigma} \|w(t)\|_{H^\sigma}^{1/\sigma}.$$

□

In order to conclude the proof of Proposition 3.1, we need the following Gronwall type lemma.

Lemma 5.4. *Let* $F : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ *be a continuous function satisfying*

$$F(u) \leq Cu, \quad \forall u \in [0,1] \tag{5.11}$$

and let $g_\varepsilon(t)$ *be a family of continuous and non-negative functions satisfying*

$$g_\varepsilon(t) \lesssim \varepsilon^\theta + \int_0^t F(g_\varepsilon(s)) \frac{ds}{\varepsilon}, \tag{5.12}$$

with $\theta > 0$*. Then there exists* $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ *so that we have the inequality*

$$g_\varepsilon(t) \lesssim \varepsilon^{\theta/2} \qquad \forall t \in [0, \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^{1/2}], \qquad \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0). \tag{5.13}$$

Remark 5.5. A similar analysis has been done in [8, 27], where one can find a version of Lemma 5.4 with the assumption

$$g_\varepsilon'(t) \lesssim \varepsilon^\theta + \frac{F(g_\varepsilon(t))}{\varepsilon}, \tag{5.14}$$

in the place of (5.12). In this case the assumption $\theta > 0$ in Lemma 5.4 is transformed into $\theta > -1$. It is not important that the first term in the right hand-side of (5.14) is small, the important point is that it is smaller than the amplification factor ε^{-1} coming from the other terms in the right hand-side of (5.12) (see [8, 27] for more details).

Proof of Lemma 5.4. We can introduce the rescaled functions $y_\varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon^{-\theta/2} g_\varepsilon(\varepsilon t)$, and we can deduce that the assumption (5.12) is equivalent to

$$y_\varepsilon(t) \leq C\big(\varepsilon^{\theta/2} + \varepsilon^{-\theta/2} \int_0^t F(\varepsilon^{\theta/2} y_\varepsilon(s)) ds\big), \quad \forall t \in [0, |\log \varepsilon|^{1/2}]. \tag{5.15}$$

It is not difficult to see that $y_\varepsilon(0) \leq C\varepsilon^{\theta/2} < 1$ for ε small enough and hence $y_\varepsilon(t) < 1$ for t close to 0. The inequality (5.13) will be established, if we can show that the graph of the function $y_\varepsilon(t)$ does not intersect the line $y = 1$ for $t \in [0, |\log \varepsilon|^{1/2}]$. Hence it remains to show that

$$y_\varepsilon(t) < 1 \qquad \forall t \in [0, |\log \varepsilon|^{1/2}]. \tag{5.16}$$

Indeed, if this is not true let $t_1 \in (0, |\log \varepsilon|^{1/2})$ be the first point, where the graph of the function $y_\varepsilon(t)$ intersects the line $y = 1$, i.e.

$$y_\varepsilon(t_1) = 1, \ y_\varepsilon(t) < 1, \ \forall t \in [0, t_1). \tag{5.17}$$

Then $\varepsilon^{\theta/2}y_\varepsilon(s) < 1$ for $s \in [0, t_1]$ so (5.11) and (5.15) imply

$$y_\varepsilon(t) \leq C\varepsilon^{\theta/2} + C^2\varepsilon^{-\theta/2}\int_0^t \varepsilon^{\theta/2}y_\varepsilon(s)ds \leq C\varepsilon^{\theta/2} + C^2\int_0^t y_\varepsilon(s)ds \quad \forall t \in [0, t_1].$$

Applying the classical Gronwall inequality in $[0, t_1]$, we get

$$y_\varepsilon(t) \leq C\varepsilon^{\theta/2}e^{C^2 t} \quad \forall t \in [0, t_1]$$

and hence

$$\log y_\varepsilon(t_1) \leq \log C + \frac{\theta \log\varepsilon}{2} + C^2|\log\varepsilon|^{1/2} < 0$$

as ε is sufficiently small. This is in contradiction with (5.17). The contradiction shows that (5.16) holds and this completes the proof.

□

We can now conclude the proof of Proposition 3.1. We introduce the functions

$$h_\varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon^{-s}\|w(t)\|_{L^2} + \varepsilon^{\sigma-s}\|w(t)\|_{H^\sigma}$$

where $\sigma > 1/2$ is any number that will be chosen properly at the end of the proof. Then, thanks to the analysis performed in the beginning of this section and the previous one,

$$h_\varepsilon(t) \lesssim \varepsilon^{(2s-1/2)} + \varepsilon^{2s-1}\int_0^t h_\varepsilon(s)ds + \varepsilon^{2s-1}\int_0^t (h_\varepsilon(s))^2 ds + \varepsilon^{2s-1}\int_0^t (h_\varepsilon(s))^3 ds.$$

By Lemma 5.4 we get

$$h_\varepsilon(t) \lesssim \varepsilon^{s-1/4}, \qquad \forall t \in (0, \varepsilon^{1-2s}|\log\varepsilon|^{1/2})$$

and hence

$$\|w(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^{2s-1/4}, \|w(t,\cdot)\|_{H^\sigma} \lesssim \varepsilon^{2s-\sigma-1/4}, \qquad \forall t \in (0, \varepsilon^{1-2s}|\log\varepsilon|^{1/2}).$$

We finally can write

$$\|w(t,\cdot)\|_{H^s} \leq \|w(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{s}{\sigma}}\|w(t,\cdot)\|_{H^\sigma}^{\frac{s}{\sigma}} \lesssim \varepsilon^{s-1/4}$$

and we conclude the proof.

References

[1] T. Alazard, R. Carles, *Loss of regularity for super-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Math. Ann. 343 (2009) 397-420.

[2] V. Banica, *On the nonlinear Schrödinger dynamics in S^2*, J. Math. Pure Appl. 83 (2004) 77-98.

[3] B. Birnir, C. Kenig, G. Ponce, N. Svanstedt, L. Vega, *On the ill-posedness of the IVP for the generalized KdV and nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Annales IHP, Analyse non linéaire 13 (1996) 529-535.

[4] B. Birnir, G. Ponce, N. Svanstedt, *The local ill-posedness of the modified KdV equation*, J. London Math. Soc. 53 (1996) 551-559.

[5] H. Brézis, T. Gallouët, *Nonlinear Schrödinger evolution equations*, Nonlinear Analysis 4 (1980) 677-681.

[6] N. Burq, P. Gérard, N. Tzvetkov, *An instability property of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on S^d*, Math. Research Letters 9 (2002) 323-335.

[7] N. Burq, P. Gérard, N. Tzvetkov, *Two singular dynamics of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on a plane domain* GAFA 13 (2003) 1-19.

[8] N. Burq, P. Gérard, N. Tzvetkov, *Multilinear eigenfunction estimates and global existence for the three dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Annales scientifiques de l'ENS 38 (2005), 255-301.

[9] M. Christ, J. Colliander, T. Tao, *Asymptotics, frequency modulation and low regularity ill-posedness for canonical defocussing equations*, Amer. J. Math. 125 (2003) 1235-1293.

[10] M. Christ, J. Colliander, T. Tao, *Ill-posedness for nonlinear Schrödinger and wave equations*, Preprint 2003.

[11] P. D'Ancona, V. Georgiev, *On the continuity of the solution operator to the wave map system*, Comm. Pure. Appl. Math. 57 (2004) 357-383.

[12] P. Gérard, S. Grellier, *The cubic Szegő equation*, Annales scientifiques de l'ENS 43 (2010), 761-810.

[13] P. Gérard, S. Grellier, *Effective integrable dynamics for a certain nonlinear wave equation*, Analysis & PDE, 5 (2012), 1139-1155.

[14] P. Gérard, S. Grellier, *Invariant tori for the cubic Szegő equation*, Invent. Math. 187 (2012) 707-754.

[15] P. Gérard, S. Grellier, *Inverse spectral problems for compact Hankel operators*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 13 (2014), no. 2, 273-301.

[16] P. Gérard, S. Grellier, *An explicit formula for the cubic Szegő equation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 367 (2015), no. 4, 2979-2995.

[17] P. Gérard, S. Grellier, *On the growth of Sobolev norms for the cubic Szegő equation*, Sminaire Laurent Schwartz EDP et applications (2014-2015), Exp. No. 11, 20 p., doi: 10.5802/slsedp.70

[18] C. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega, *On the ill-posedness of some canonical dispersive equations*, Duke Math. J. 106 (2001) 617-633.

[19] H. Koch, N. Tzvetkov, *Nonlinear wave interactions for the Benjamin-Ono equation*, IMRN 30 (2005) 1833-1847.

[20] H. Koch, N. Tzvetkov, *On finite energy solutions of the KP-I equation*, Math. Z. 258 (2008) 55-68.

[21] J. Krieger, E. Lenzmann, P. Raphaël, *Nondispersive solutions to the L^2-critical half-wave equation*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 209 (2013), no. 1, 61-129.

[22] G. Lebeau, *Non linear optic and supercritical wave equation*, Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Lieège 70 (2002) 267-306.

[23] G. Lebeau, *Perte de régularité pour les équations d'ondes sur-critiques*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 133 (2005) 145-157.

[24] H. Lindblad, *A sharp conterexample to the local existence of low-regularity solutions to nonlinear wave equations*, Duke Math. J. 72 (1993) 503-539.

[25] O. Pocovnicu, *Explicit formula for the solutions of the the cubic Szegő equation on the real line and applications*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. A 31 (2011) 607-649.

[26] O. Pocovnicu, *First and second order approximations for a nonlinear wave equation*, J. Dynam. Differential Equations 25 (2013), no. 2, 305-333.

[27] N. Tzvetkov, *Ill-posedness issues for nonlinear dispersive equation*, GAKUTO International Series Mathematical Sciences and Applications, Volume 27 September, 2006.

Vladimir Georgiev Department of Mathematics, University of Pisa, Largo Bruno Pontecorvo 5, 56100 Pisa, Italy
E-mail address: `georgiev@dm.unipi.it`

Nikolay Tzvetkov, University of Cergy-Pontoise, UMR CNRS 8088, Cergy-Pontoise, F-95000
E-mail address: `nikolay.tzvetkov@u-cergy.fr`

Nicola Visciglia, Department of Mathematics, University of Pisa, Largo Bruno Pontecorvo 5, 56100 Pisa, Italy
E-mail address: `viscigli@dm.unipi.it`